Molecular phylogeny of Chamaedorea based on the low-copy nuclear genes PRK and RPB2



Meredith M. Thomas¹, Nancy C. Garwood¹, William J. Baker², Sally A. Henderson¹, Stephen J. Russell¹, Donald R. Hodel³ and Richard M. Bateman¹

¹ Natural History Museum, Department of Botany, Cromwell Road, London, SW7 5BD, UK ² Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3AE, UK ³ University of California, Cooperative Extension, 4800 E. Cesar Chavez Avenue, Los Angeles, California, 90022, USA

Results



Abstract

We conducted the first phylogenetic analysis of Chamaedorea (Arecaceae: Ceroxyloideae: Hyophorbeae), the largest neotropical genus of palms, using molecular data from the low-copy nuclear genes PRK and RPB2. The monophyly of the genus and its placement within its tribe Hyophorbeae have not been systematically tested, nor have more than two of the approximately 100 species of Chamaedorea previously been included in a phylogenetic study of the palms. The prevalent current taxonomy of Chamaedorea recognizes eight subgenera (Hodel 1992), all based on floral characters, which provide a useful starting point to explore species level systematics. Sequence data from 63 species, including representatives of all eight subgenera were analyzed using maximum parsimony and Bayesian inference optimality criteria. Chamaedorea is resolved as monophyletic with strong support in all PRK, RPB2 and combined analyses. However, at least two of the subgenera are resolved as non-monophyletic, including the two largest, Chamaedorea and Chamaedoropsis, which are distinguished from each other by the degree of connation in the staminate petals. Phylogenetic resolution among members of these two subgenera shows no pattern of congruence between relatedness and degree of staminate petal connation. Many of the well-supported clades resolved by these gene regions are unsupported by existing morphological evidence and define groups never before proposed within Chamaedorea. PRK is found to be more informative than RPB2, but both have proven useful for interpreting species-level relationships in palms. A paralogue of the desired copy of PRK is identified that could be a valuable source of phylogenetic information for future studies.

Key Words: Arecaceae; Chamaedorea; character transformation; classification; evolution; low-copy nuclear genes; molecular systematics; paralogue; PRK; RPB2.

Objectives

- Test the monophyly of the genus Chamaedorea
- Determine its position within the tribe Hyophorbeae
- Explore and refine the current morphologically-based subgenera

Materials and Methods

- Included 63 species of Chamaedorea, including representatives of all 8 subgenera
- Included the four other genera of tribe Hyophorbeae
- Six outgroup genera chosen from the Arecoid line (from three tribes and six subtribes)
- Non-coding regions of the genes phosphoribulokinase (PRK) and RNA polymerase II (RPB2) were amplified
- Sequence data were analyzed using maximum parsimony (MP) and Bayesian inference (BI) optimality criteria



Conclusions

It is evident from this study that the subgeneric classification of genus Chamaedorea requires substantial revision. Although the data support monophyly of the smaller subgenera Moreniella, Eleutheropetalum and Stephanostachys, subgenera Chamaedorea and Chamaedoropsis, which contain over 80% of the species described in the genus, are clearly polyphyletic. Additional information on aspects such as floral anatomy, reproductive biology, autecology and karyotypes should improve understanding of species-level relationships within Chamaedorea. Future population studies should shed more light on the apparent existence of morphologically cryptic species complexes, biogeography and degrees of endemism. A crucial step towards a more complete understanding of Chamaedorea phylogenetics will be the construction of a rigorous morphological matrix for the genus. There is also enormous potential for additional molecular studies on Chamaedorea, as the development of new molecular markers, including low-copy nuclear genes, expands the number and variety of DNA regions available for phylogenetic analysis.

A paralogue of the target copy of PRX was identified in five species of *Chamaedorea*. For all but one of these species, the target copy of PRX was also recovered. Despite being similar in length to the target copy of PRX. the paradogue is easily distinguished in a sequence alignment. In *C. dammeriana*, for example, the paralogue and the target copy have an uncorrected pairwise distance of 0.410, whereas the largest distance between the target copy of *C. dammeriana* and any other taxon in the study, outgroup taxon Roystonea oleracea, is only 0.142. Most of this variation is contained within the intron, but even the coding regions of the two copies are easily distinguished. The paralogue is apparently functional, having no stop codons or frame shifts. A translated BLAST search of the coding regions of *arabidopsis thaliana* (BLAST score = 1 = 31). *Triticum aestruum* (*ce*-31). *orgza satus* (*ce*-31). *Berum saturum* (*ce*-31) and *PEX*. Arabidopsis thaliana (BLAST score = 1 = 31), Triticum aestruum (2e-31), Orga sativa (5e-31), Bisum sativum (6e-31) and Beta vulgaris (3e-30), followed by the rest of the palms in the database (2e-29). The paralogous sequences were not included in the final analyses, but the new paralogue of PRK identified in this study showed strong potential to be phylogenetically informative, as it exhibited several variable and informative mutations across the five species from which it was anplified Paralogue-specific primers have been designed and attempts are currently being made to amplify this DNA region from additional *Chamaedorea* species.



Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Karen James, Dr. C. Donovan Bailey and Dr. Michael Frohlich for invaluable advice on molecular evolution. Dr. William Hahn, Dr. Samuel Bridgewater, and Deborah Lalumondier provided leaf material to this study. We are grateful for the support received from Fairchild Tropical Garden and the Natural History Museum Science Directorate. This study was funded by the DEFRA Darwin Initiative grant 162/12/012.